

1600 9<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 440  
Sacramento, California 95814  
(916) 653-0733  
Fax (916) 654-3138  
[www.gis.ca.gov/council](http://www.gis.ca.gov/council)

## California Geographic Information Council

### Draft Minutes

Meeting September 20, 2006

California State University – Sacramento

Modoc Hall – Willow Room

9:00 – 12:00

Conference call-in @ (605) 990-0100 1090016#

Attachments:

- gisc\_20060504\_minutes\_draft.doc
- CGIC\_DRAFT\_Strategic\_Plan20060918.doc

Items in **Bold** are Council Actions

#### 1. Welcome Introduction / Announcements

- Roll Call / 16 members present, quorum is reached.
- Previous Meeting Minutes Approval - No discussion. **Motion to approve minutes approved by vote.**
- Review of Previous Action Items
  1. Approval of additional Council seat
  2. Form Strategic Planning Workgroup
  3. Form Imagery Workgroup
- Call for announcements
  1. California Department of General Services Donna Pena announcement - (E911/VOIP deployment)--Donna works with 58 county coordinators to determine how E911 calls are routed for most efficient response. Data need are accurate jurisdictional boundaries.  
<http://www.td.dgs.ca.gov/services/911/VOIP>
  2. US Dept. of Homeland Security has asked FGDC for a Homeland Security Data Model - Some interest here to put a group together to look at the data model and determine how involved to be with the FGDC standards approval process. Mary Cook-Hurley and Terrence Newsome will put a working group together and contact others who might be interested (CalTrans, BAR-GC, OES)
  3. Dept. of Fish and Game interest in pursuing a business plan for vegetation using Sawyer-Keeler-Wolf methodology - Tom Lupo will head this up and anyone interested in participating in a veg map business planning effort can contact Tom. Please contact Tom if you are interested in this effort ([tlupo@dfg.ca.gov](mailto:tlupo@dfg.ca.gov))
- Communications
  1. Gene Trobia thank you letter (April 26)
  2. CGIA Support letter (June 14)
  3. IFTN Support letter (August 18)



## 2. Regional Overview / Updates

- The Channel Islands Regional GIS Council has become a 501(c)3 and received a USGS CAP Grant. They have purchased servers and placed them at Ventura College.

## 3. Draft Framework Data Plan

- Malcolm Adkins - Draft Data Framework Presentation by Malcolm Adkins:
- Results of the work are:
- Ranking of the seven FGDC framework as:
  1. Cadastral
  2. Ortho Imagery
  3. Transportation
  4. Elevation
  5. Hydrography
  6. Geodetic Control
  7. Governmental Units
- Prioritized California centric data
  1. Street Addressing (Added to cover Critical Infrastructure)
  2. Utilities (Added to cover Critical Infrastructure)
  3. Public Land Conveyance Records
  4. Buildings and Facilities
  5. Flood Hazards
  6. Vegetation
  7. Biological Resources
  8. Cultural and Demographic Statistics
  9. Soils
  10. Wetlands
  11. Earth Cover
- Draft report will be available in October

## 4. Imagery Work Group Update

- Carol
- No Action on this item

## 5. Digital Lands Records Inventory Work Group Update

- a. Presented by Oscar Jarquin
- b. Oscar has issued an RFP, through the CMAS list, to obtain 3 quotes to perform a 1-year business planning activity using NSGIC template. Oscar issued a warning here that other parallel state efforts to collect and use parcel data will probably result in purchase of commercial licensed products.
- c. Ted Werner: CA Tax Assessor Association presented candid and heartfelt words of advice to the DLRI working group:
  - i. Engage the people who have the need for the data (CalTrans, COGs)
  - ii. Need strong state leadership
  - iii. Potential problems moving forward
    1. Worry about the content only, not the format
    2. Attorney General opinion is still very divisive within the assessor community
    3. "Dedicated funding streams" are NOT trusted by tax assessors
    4. With funds come strings
    5. Assessors may not want to "loose control"

6. Probably, realistically, the only path is legislation
7. Would like to resolve the data availability issue, but not optimistic

## 6. Break

## 7. Strategic Planning Work Group

- Mike Byrne – Presentation – includes a (1) Group Overview, (2) Results, (3) Executive Summary, (4) Planning Methodology, (5) Current Situation, (5a) Statewide Coordination History, (5b) SWOT, (6) Vision and Goals, (7) Requirements, (7a) Technology/Data/Standards, (7b) Organization, (8) Implementation
- Results – Vision – “*Data availability to serve CA*” and Common Goals
  1. Development of a shared data resource (CSDI)
  2. Creation of a state coordinating entity and GIO to develop/maintain/coordinate the CSDI

### Discussion Points

- The Workgroup proposes writing a letter to the current administration asking to sign SB 834 creating a state CIO. No action taken, but CGIA offered to do this, on its (CGIA's) behalf
- The Workgroup suggests the Council ask that CGIA do the same. No Action taken.
- With regards to the current draft the Workgroup suggests (a) refining writing/formatting, (b) fleshing out the Requirements Section, and (c) develop an Implementation Section. Discussion result is for workgroup to continue
- The Workgroup suggests going to regional council meetings and vetting the current draft with them. Discussion – This is a good idea.
- The Workgroup suggests pursuing a CAP grant to fund the completion of the Strategic Plan, and aid with plan implementation. Workgroup should take on this responsibility
- The Workgroup suggests writing a letter to State Librarian asking for the California Research Bureau to research the economic benefits to California from the development of a CSDI. Council Moves and votes to do this. Byrne and Lave-Johnston to do this.

### General Discussion

Legislation support: Several federal and state council members expressed concern with council endorsement in support of pending legislation, no consensus on how council should proceed in endorsing pending SB 834; it was recognized that CGIA would most likely "do the right thing" in terms of endorsement and did not need to be directed by the council on this matter.

Implementation section: comment that if we present the alternatives correctly, it will lead to a single conclusion (establishment of a GIO)

Madera County took short term solution of putting GIO position in the most convenient place for the moment, and then moving to a better place, one that is determined. Some discussion on that, but no recommendation on how to proceed.

Discussion and motion to engage the CA Research Bureau to assist in determining economic benefits. Motion is for the council to write a letter to ask for the Research Bureau to do a Return on Investment Study to support coordination and CSDI implementation. Who would the letter come from? Council. Mike Byrne is to do

followup with the CRB and recommend language/process to council.

2007 CAP grant cycle: discussion on who should lead the CAP process--CGIA or a state agency. Concern was expressed about the pace at which a state agency might be able to execute such a proposal, given the short time frame for application, but the benefit would be a "state ownership of the work" and some state investment into the process of coordinating within the 50 States Initiative and goals. CGIA will serve as "back-up" ready to submit a CAP proposal.

#### 8. Wrap-up Discussion / Next meeting

- Mike
- January Virtual meeting discussing the USGS questions - January meeting: will be virtual, 3 days agreed as appropriate duration. No suggestion/opinions on partner requirement document.
- CalGIS in April